## ECE531 Homework Assignment Number 2

## Due by 8:50pm on Thursday 05-Feb-2009

Make sure your reasoning and work are clear to receive full credit for each problem.

1. 3 points. A city has two taxi companies distinguished by the color of their taxis: 85% of the taxis are Yellow and the rest are Blue. A taxi was involved in a hit and run accident and was witnessed by Mr. Green. Unfortunately, Mr. Green is mildly color blind and can only correctly identify the color 80% of the time. In the trial, Mr. Green testified that the color of the taxi was blue. Should you trust him?

**Solution:** This problem can be interpreted as: Does Mr. Green's decision minimizes the Bayesian risk? Let's assume a uniform cost assignment (UCA) and use  $x_0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  to represent "Yellow" and  $x_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_1$  to represent "Blue". Also let  $\pi_0 = 0.85$  denote the prior probability of a yellow taxi,  $\pi_1 = 0.15$  denote the prior probability of a blue taxi, and  $Y = y_1$  denote Mr. Green's observation of a blue taxi. Then from slide 26 in Lecture 2b, a deterministic Bayes decision rule can be written in terms of the posterior probabilities as

$$\delta^{B\pi}(y) = \arg \max_{i \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{x_j \in \mathcal{H}_i} \pi_j(y),$$

where  $\pi_j(y) = \text{Prob}(\text{state is } x_j \mid \text{observation is } y)$ . Given Mr. Green's observation  $Y = y_1$  (the taxi was blue), then if  $\pi_1(y_1) > \pi_0(y_1)$  the posterior probability of the taxi being blue is greater than the posterior probability of the taxi being yellow and we can trust Mr.Green. We can write the posterior probabilities

$$\pi_1(y_1) = \frac{p_1(y_1)\pi_1}{p(y_1)}$$
$$\pi_0(y_1) = \frac{p_0(y_1)\pi_0}{p(y_1)}.$$

Thus we only need to compare  $\kappa_0 = p_0(y_1)\pi_0$  and  $\kappa_1 = p_1(y_1)\pi_1$ .

$$\kappa_0 = 0.2 * 0.85 = 0.17$$
  
 $\kappa_1 = 0.8 * 0.15 = 0.12$ 

We see that  $\kappa_0 > \kappa_1$ , hence the posterior probability of the taxi being yellow is greater than the posterior probability of the taxi being blue and we can not trust Mr.Green.

2. 8 points total. Consider the coin flipping problem where you have an unknown coin, either fair (HT) or double headed (HH), and you observe the outcome of n flips of this coin. Assume a uniform cost assignment. For notational consistency, let the state and hypothesis  $x_0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  be the case when the coin is HT and  $x_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_1$  be the case when the coin is HH.

(a) 3 points. Plot the conditional risk vectors (CRVs) of the deterministic decision rules for the cases of  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots$  coin flips. You might want to write a Matlab script to do this for n > 2.

Solution: See the Matlab script and plots below.

```
1
   %
2 % ECE531 Spring 2009
3 % DRB 05-Feb-2009
   % Solution to Homework 2 Problem 2 part a
 4
   %
5
   % USER PARAMETERS BELOW
6
7 %-
8 ntest = 1:4;
                         \% values of n to test
9
   %-
10
11 N = 2;
                     % number of hypotheses
12 M = 2;
                     % number of states
13 p0_H = 0.5; \% conditional probability of H given x0
14 pl_H = 1; \% conditional probability of H given x1
15 C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & ; & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; % UCA
16
17
   for n = ntest
18
                           \% number of possible observations
19
        L = n+1;
20
        totD = M^L;
                         % total number of decision matrices
21
        B = makebinary(L, 1);
22
23
        % form conditional probability matrix
24
        \% columns are indexed by state
25
        % rows are indexed by observation
        P0 = \mathbf{zeros}(L, 1);
26
27
        P1 = \mathbf{zeros}(L, 1);
28
        for i = 0: (L-1),
            P0(i+1) = nchoosek(n,i) * p0_H^i * (1 - p0_H)^(n-i);
29
30
            P1(i+1) = nchoosek(n,i) * p1_H^i * (1 - p1_H)^(n-i);
31
        end
32
        P = [P0 P1];
33
34
        % compute CRVs for all possible deterministic decision matrices
        for i = 0:(tot D - 1),
35
            D = [B(:, i+1)'; 1-B(:, i+1)'];
                                                   % decision matrix
36
37
            % compute risk vectors
38
            for j = 0:1,
39
                 R(j+1,i+1) = C(:, j+1)'*D*P(:, j+1);
40
            end
        \mathbf{end}
41
42
        figure
43
44
        plot (R(1,:), R(2,:), 'p');
45
        xlabel('R0')
46
        ylabel('R1')
47
        title (['n=' num2str(n)]);
48
        axis square
49
        grid on
50
51
   end
```

Here is the function "makebinary.m" that is called by the main code.

```
1 function y=makebinary(K, unipolar)
```

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

```
3 y=zeros(K,2<sup>K</sup>); % all possible bit combos
4 for index=1:K,
5 y(K-index+1,:)=(-1).<sup>c</sup>eil([1:2<sup>K</sup>]/(2<sup>(index-1)</sup>));
6 end
7 if unipolar>0,
8 y = (y+1)/2;
9 end
```

Here are the plots.







(b) 2 points. What can you say about the convex hull of the deterministic CRVs as n increases?

**Solution:** You can see the trend from the plots of how the CRVs of the deterministic decision rules move closer to the bottom left corner as n increases. The convex hull of achievable CRVs then fills more of the risk plane as n gets larger. Hence, you can achieve any arbitrarily small risk combination for sufficiently large n.

(c) 3 points. When n = 2, find the deterministic decision rule(s) that minimize the Bayes risk for the prior  $\pi_0 = 0.6$  and  $\pi_1 = 0.4$ . Repeat this for the case when n = 3. Does the additional observation reduce the Bayes risk for this prior?

**Solution:** Notation:  $HT = x_0 \leftrightarrow H_0$  and  $HH = x_1 \leftrightarrow H_1$ . When n=2, we can write the conditional probability matrix P as

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 & 0\\ 0.5 & 0\\ 0.25 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the expected cost matrix G as

$$G = \left[ \begin{array}{rrr} 0 & 0 & 0.4 \\ 0.15 & 0.3 & 0.15 \end{array} \right]$$

Hence the optimal deterministic decision matrix that minimizes the Bayes cost is

$$D = \left[ \begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

and the resulting Bayes risk is r = 0.15.

When n=3, we can write the conditional probability matrix P as

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.125 & 0\\ 0.375 & 0\\ 0.375 & 0\\ 0.125 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the expected cost matrix G as

$$G = \left[ \begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.4 \\ 0.075 & 0.225 & 0.225 & 0.075 \end{array} \right]$$

Hence the optimal deterministic decision matrix that minimizes the Bayes cost is

$$D = \left[ \begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

and the resulting Bayes risk is r = 0.075. The risk is reduced by flipping the coin one more time, as you would expect.

3. 3 points. Poor textbook Chapter II. Problem 2 (a).

Solution: The likelihood ratio is given by

$$L(y) = \frac{p_1(y)}{p_0(y)} = \frac{3}{2(y+1)}, \quad 0 \le y \le 1.$$

With uniform costs and equal priors, we can compute the optimum threshold on the likelihood function as  $\tau = 1$ . From our expression for L(y), an equivalent condition to  $L(y) \ge 1$  is  $y \in [0, 0.5]$ . Thus, for priors  $\pi_0 = \pi_1 = 0.5$ , the deterministic Bayes decision rule is given by

$$\delta^{B\pi}(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le y < 0.5 \\ 0/1 & \text{if } y = 0.5 \\ 0 & \text{if } 0.5 < y \le 1 \end{cases}.$$

The corresponding minimum Bayes risk for this prior is

$$r(\delta^{B\pi}) = 0.5 \int_0^{0.5} \frac{2}{3}(y+1)dy + 0.5 \int_{0.5}^1 dy = \frac{11}{24}.$$

4. 3 points. Poor textbook Chapter II, Problem 4 (a).

**Solution:** Here the likelihood ratio is given by

$$L(y) = \frac{p_1(y)}{p_0(y)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{y - \frac{y^2}{2}} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2e}{\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y-1)^2}{2}}, \quad y \ge 0.$$

Let

$$\tau = \frac{\pi_0}{1 - \pi_0}$$

and note that  $L(y) = \tau$  is equivalent to  $y = \tau'$  where

$$\tau' = -2\ln\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2e}} \left(\frac{\pi_0}{1-\pi_0}\right).$$

Hence, we can express the "critical region" of observations where we decide  $\mathcal{H}_1$  as

$$\Gamma_1 = \{ y \ge 0 | (y-1)^2 \le \tau' \}.$$

There are three cases that depend on  $\tau'$ :

$$\Gamma_1 = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \tau' < 0\\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \sqrt{\tau'}, 1 + \sqrt{\tau'} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } 0 \le \tau' \le 1\\ \begin{bmatrix} 0, 1 + \sqrt{\tau'} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } \tau' > 1 \end{cases}$$

For notational convenience, let's define the following constants:

$$\alpha := \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2e}{\pi}}}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{2e}{\pi}}} \approx 0.56813$$
$$\beta := \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}} \approx 0.44379$$

Then we can say that

- The condition  $\tau' < 0$  is equivalent to  $\alpha < \pi_0 \leq 1$ .
- The condition  $0 \le \tau' \le 1$  is equivalent to  $\beta \le \pi_0 \le \alpha$ .
- The condition  $\tau' > 1$  is equivalent to  $0 \le \pi_0 < \beta$ .

The minimum Bayes risk  $V(\pi_0)$  can be calculated according to these three regions:

$$V(\pi_0) = \begin{cases} 1 - \pi_0 & \text{if } \alpha < \pi_0 \le 1\\ \pi_0 \int_{1 - \sqrt{\tau'}}^{1 + \sqrt{\tau'}} e^{-y} dy + (1 - \pi_0) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left[ \int_0^{1 - \sqrt{\tau'}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy + \int_{1 + \sqrt{\tau'}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy \right] & \text{if } \beta \le \pi_0 \le \alpha\\ \pi_0 \int_0^{1 + \sqrt{\tau'}} e^{-y} dy + (1 - \pi_0) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{1 + \sqrt{\tau'}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy & \text{if } 0 \le \pi_0 < \beta \end{cases}$$

Note that these integrals can be expressed as Q-functions (or erf/erfc functions) but cannot be evaluated in closed form for arbitrary priors.

5. 3 points. Poor textbook Chapter II, Problem 6 (a).

**Solution:** Here we have  $p_0(y) = p_N(y+s)$  and  $p_1(y) = p_N(y-s)$ , where  $p_N(x)$  is the pdf of the random variable N. This gives the likelihood function

$$L(y) = \frac{1 + (y + s)^2}{1 + (y - s)^2}.$$

With equal priors and uniform costs, the "critical region" where we decide  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is  $\Gamma_1 = \{L(y) \ge 1\} = \{1 + (y+s)^2 \ge 1 + (y-s)^2\} = \{2sy \ge -2sy\} = [0,\infty)$ . Thus, the Bayes decision rule reduces to

$$\delta^{B\pi}(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{if } y < 0 \end{cases}$$

The minimum Bayes risk is then

$$r(\delta^{B\pi}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\pi \left[1 + (y+s)^2\right]} dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1}{\pi \left[1 + (y-s)^2\right]} dy = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\tan^{-1}(s)}{\pi}.$$