

# ECE531 Screencast 11.3: Bayesian Composite Hypothesis Testing Example

D. Richard Brown III

Worcester Polytechnic Institute

# Problem Setup

Suppose  $\mathcal{X} = [0, 3)$  and we want to decide between **three** hypotheses

$$\mathcal{H}_0 : 0 \leq x < 1 \quad \mathcal{H}_1 : 1 \leq x < 2 \quad \mathcal{H}_2 : 2 \leq x < 3$$

We get one observation  $Y = x + \eta$  where  $\eta$  is uniformly distributed on  $[-1, 1]$ .

From this setup, the conditional distribution of observation  $Y$  is

$$p_x(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & x - 1 \leq y \leq x + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Further assume the UCA and a uniform prior on the states. This implies

$$C(x) = \begin{cases} [0, 1, 1]^\top & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ [1, 0, 1]^\top & 1 \leq x < 2 \\ [1, 1, 0]^\top & 2 \leq x < 3 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\pi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & 0 \leq x < 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# Commodity Costs (1 of 3)

We want to find the index corresponding to the minimum ‘commodity cost’:

$$g_0(y, \pi) = \frac{1}{3} \int_1^3 p_x(y) dx$$

$$g_1(y, \pi) = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 p_x(y) dx + \frac{1}{3} \int_2^3 p_x(y) dx$$

$$g_2(y, \pi) = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^2 p_x(y) dx$$

We can simplify this a bit by defining

$$w(y) := \frac{1}{3} \int_0^3 p_x(y) dx.$$

Note that  $w(y)$  is not a function of  $x$  or  $i$ , i.e. given the observation  $y$ ,  $w(y)$  is just a constant.

Further defining  $f_i(y, \pi) := w(y) - g_i(y, \pi)$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , we can write

$$\arg \min_{i \in \{0, 1, 2\}} g_i(y, \pi) \Leftrightarrow \arg \max_{i \in \{0, 1, 2\}} f_i(y, \pi).$$

# Commodity Costs (2 of 3)

We can explicitly write out the  $f_i(y, \pi)$  terms as

$$f_0(y, \pi) = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^3 p_x(y) - \frac{1}{3} \int_1^3 p_x(y) dx = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 p_x(y) dx$$

$$f_1(y, \pi) = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^3 p_x(y) - \frac{1}{3} \left( \int_0^1 p_y(x) dx + \int_2^3 p_y(x) dx \right) = \frac{1}{3} \int_1^2 p_x(y) dx$$

$$f_2(y, \pi) = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^3 p_x(y) - \frac{1}{3} \int_0^2 p_y(x) dx = \frac{1}{3} \int_2^3 p_x(y) dx.$$

Note that the  $\frac{1}{3}$  factor common to each  $f_i(y, \pi)$  is irrelevant to the maximization. Hence, defining  $h_i(y, \pi) := 3f_i(y, \pi)$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , we can say that

$$\arg \min_{i \in \{0, 1, 2\}} g_i(y, \pi) \Leftrightarrow \arg \max_{i \in \{0, 1, 2\}} f_i(y, \pi) \Leftrightarrow \arg \max_{i \in \{0, 1, 2\}} h_i(y, \pi).$$

with

$$h_0(y, \pi) = \int_0^1 p_x(y) dx \quad h_1(y, \pi) = \int_1^2 p_x(y) dx \quad h_2(y, \pi) = \int_2^3 p_x(y) dx.$$

## Commodity Costs (3 of 3)

In order to evaluate these integrals, we should write  $p_x(y)$  as an explicit function of  $x$ , thinking of  $y$  as a constant.

As an example, suppose we get the observation  $y = 0$ . This observation tells us that  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ .

We can write

$$p_x(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & y - 1 \leq x \leq y + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

You can confirm that this expression is identical to the earlier one on slide 2.

# Bayesian Decision Rule (1 of 2)

The Bayesian decision rule selects

$$\delta^{B\pi} = \arg \min_{i \in \{0,1,2\}} g_i(y, \pi) = \arg \max_{i \in \{0,1,2\}} h_i(y, \pi)$$

with

$$h_0(y, \pi) = \int_0^1 p_x(y) dx \quad h_1(y, \pi) = \int_1^2 p_x(y) dx \quad h_2(y, \pi) = \int_2^3 p_x(y) dx$$

and

$$p_x(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & y - 1 \leq x \leq y + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose  $y = 0.5$ . Then  $p_x(y)$  is nonzero only on  $x \in [-0.5, 1.5]$  and we can write

$$h_0(y, \pi) = \int_0^1 0.5 dx = 0.5$$

$$h_1(y, \pi) = \int_1^2 p_x(y) dx = \int_1^{1.5} 0.5 dx + \int_{1.5}^2 0 dx = 0.25$$

$$h_2(y, \pi) = \int_2^3 p_x(y) dx = \int_2^3 0 dx = 0$$

and we should select  $\mathcal{H}_0$ .

# Bayesian Decision Rule (2 of 2)

Listing the relevant regions of  $y$ , we can write

$$y \in (-1, 0) : h_0 > 0, h_1 = h_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \text{decide } \mathcal{H}_0$$

$$y \in (0, 1) : h_0 = 0.5, 0 < h_1 < 0.5, h_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \text{decide } \mathcal{H}_0$$

$$y \in (1, 2) : 0 < h_0 < 0.5, h_1 = 0.5, 0 < h_2 < 0.5 \Rightarrow \text{decide } \mathcal{H}_1$$

$$y \in (2, 3) : h_0 = 0, 0 < h_1 < 0.5, h_2 = 0.5 \Rightarrow \text{decide } \mathcal{H}_2$$

$$y \in (3, 4) : h_0 = h_1 = 0, h_2 > 0 \Rightarrow \text{decide } \mathcal{H}_2$$

Hence,

$$\delta^{B\pi}(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & y \leq 1 \\ 1 & 1 < y < 2 \\ 2 & y \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_0 : 0 \leq x < 1 \quad \mathcal{H}_1 : 1 \leq x < 2 \quad \mathcal{H}_2 : 2 \leq x < 3.$$