

ECE531 Screencast 3.6: A Complete Example on Using the RBLS Theorem

D. Richard Brown III

Worcester Polytechnic Institute

Problem Statement

Suppose $Y_k \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} p_Y(y; \theta)$ for $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$ where

$$p_Y(y; \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{y}{\sigma^2} \exp\left(\frac{-y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) & y > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

1. Find a complete sufficient statistic for estimating the scalar parameter $\theta = \sigma^2$.
2. Use the RBLS theorem to find the MVU estimator.

Solution Step 1

First we should write out the joint density. Since the observations are i.i.d., the joint density is just the product of the marginal densities and we have

$$p_Y(y; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n y_k \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2}{2\theta} \right\} I(y > 0)$$

where

$$I(y > 0) = \begin{cases} 1 & y_k > 0 \text{ for all } k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now we need to find a sufficient statistic. We could guess and check (by conditioning on the statistic to see if the conditional pdf no longer depends on θ) or we could use the Neyman-Fisher Factorization Theorem.

Solution Step 2

Let's use N-F. We want

$$p_Y(y; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n y_k \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2}{2\theta} \right\} I(y > 0)$$

to look like $g_\theta(T(y))h(y)$. Lets try

$$T(y) = \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2,$$

Then, rearranging a bit, we have

$$p_Y(y; \theta) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\theta} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2}{2\theta} \right\}}_{g_\theta(T(y))} \underbrace{\left(\prod_{k=1}^n y_k \right) I(y > 0)}_{h(y)}$$

Note that this is a valid factorization because $g_\theta(T(y))$ is only a function of $T(y)$ and θ and also because $h(y)$ is only a function of y . So the N-F factorization theorem tells us that $T(y)$ is a sufficient statistic for the estimation of $\theta = \sigma^2$.

Solution Step 3

To check for completeness, we'll try to use the completeness theorem for exponential families. We have a scalar parameter here, so we want to check if

$$p_Y(y; \theta) = a(\theta) \exp \{q(\theta)T(y)\} h(y)$$

We have

$$p_Y(y; \theta) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\theta}}_{a(\theta)} \exp \left\{ \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2\theta}}_{q(\theta)} \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2}_{T(y)} \right\} \underbrace{\left(\prod_{k=1}^n y_k \right) I(y > 0)}_{h(y)}$$

Hence, the Completeness Theorem for Exponential Families implies that $T(y)$ is a **complete** sufficient statistic.

Solution Step 4

We have a complete sufficient statistic. Now we need to apply the RBLS theorem. Since the observations Y_k are i.i.d. Rayleigh distributed, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y_k] &= \sigma \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\theta\pi}{2}} \\ \text{var}(Y_k) &= \frac{4 - \pi}{2} \sigma^2 = \frac{4 - \pi}{2} \theta \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$. Hence we can compute

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Y_k^2 \right] = n \text{var}(Y_k) + n (\mathbb{E}[Y_k])^2 = \frac{n(4 - \pi)}{2} \theta + \frac{n\pi}{2} \theta = 2n\theta.$$

This gives a good idea for an unbiased estimator: $\hat{g}(y) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_k^2$.

This is not necessarily the MVU estimator, however. One more step...

Solution Step 5

We have a complete sufficient statistic $T(y)$ and an unbiased estimator $\hat{g}(y)$. The last step is to compute the conditional expectation

$$\tilde{g}[T(y)] := \mathbb{E}[\hat{g}(Y) | T(Y) = T(y)]$$

then we know $\tilde{g}[T(y)]$ is an MVU estimator of θ .

Note that $\hat{g}(Y) = \frac{1}{2n}T(Y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}[T(y)] &= \mathbb{E}[\hat{g}(Y) | T(Y) = T(y)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2n}T(Y) | T(Y) = T(y)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2n}\mathbb{E}[T(Y) | T(Y) = T(y)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2n}T(y) = \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_k^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\hat{\theta}(y) = \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_k^2$ is MVU.